

RECEIVED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISIONJAN 12 2011
MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Valentina L. O'Connor

(Name of the plaintiff or plaintiffs)

Latonya Austin

(Name of the defendant or defendants)

11cv220

Judge Milton I. Shadur
Magistrate Nan R. Nolan**COMPLAINT OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION**

1. This is an action for employment discrimination.

2. The plaintiff is Valentina L. O'Connor of the county of Cook in the state of IL.3. The defendant is Latonya Austin, whose street address is 2710 E. 89th Street, Chicago, IL 60617,
(city) Chicago (county) Cook (state) IL (ZIP) 60617
(Defendant's telephone number) (773) 535-6905 / (773) 535-6054

II The plaintiff sought employment or was employed by the defendant at (street address)

2710 E. 89th Street (Global Vision Acad (city) Chicago
(county) Cook (state) IL (ZIP code) 60617

5. The plaintiff [check one box]

(a) was denied employment by the defendant.
 (b) was hired and is still employed by the defendant.
 (c) was employed but is no longer employed by the defendant.

6. The defendant discriminated against the plaintiff on or about, or beginning on or about,(month) Sept., (day) 01, (year) 2008.

7.1 **(Choose paragraph 7.1 or 7.2, do NOT complete both.)**

(a) The defendant is not a federal governmental agency, and the plaintiff [check one box]

has not has filed a charge or charges against the defendant asserting the acts of discrimination indicated in this complaint with any of the following government agencies:

(i) the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, on or about (month) September (day) 17 (year) 2010.

(ii) the Illinois Department of Human Rights, on or about (month) September (day) 17 (year) 2010.

(b) If charges *were* filed with an agency indicated above, a copy of the charge is attached. YES. NO,

but plaintiff will file a copy of the charge within 14 days.

It is the policy of both the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and the Illinois Department of Human Rights to cross-file with the other agency all charges received. The plaintiff has no reason to believe that this policy was not followed in this case.

7.2 The defendant is a federal governmental agency, and

(a) the plaintiff previously filed a Complaint of Employment Discrimination with the defendant asserting the acts of discrimination indicated in this court complaint. (the Complaint was completed by an EEOC investigator, who wrote false allegations, instead of what plaintiff stated)

Yes (month) September (day) 17 (year) 2010 (see attached letters)

No, did not file Complaint of Employment Discrimination

2. The plaintiff received a Final Agency Decision on (month) October (day) 12 (year) 2010.

c. Attached is a copy of the

a. Complaint of Employment Discrimination,

YES NO, but a copy will be filed within 14 days.

(ii) Final Agency Decision

YES NO, but a copy will be filed within 14 days.

8. (Complete paragraph 8 only if defendant is not a federal governmental agency.)

(a) the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has not issued a *Notice of Right to Sue*.

(b) the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has issued a *Notice of Right to Sue*, which was received by the plaintiff on (month) _____ (day) _____ (year) 2010 a copy of which *Notice* is attached to this complaint.

9. The defendant discriminated against the plaintiff because of the plaintiff's [*check only those that apply*]:

(a) Age (Age Discrimination Employment Act).

(b) Color (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).

(c) Disability (Americans with Disabilities Act or Rehabilitation Act)

(d) National Origin (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).

(e) Race (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and 42 U.S.C. §1981).

(f) Religion (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)

(g) Sex (Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964)

10. If the defendant is a state, county, municipal (city, town or village) or other local governmental agency, plaintiff further alleges discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin (42 U.S.C. § 1983).

11. Jurisdiction over the statutory violation alleged is conferred as follows: for Title VII claims by 28 U.S.C. §1331, 28 U.S.C. §1343(a)(3), and 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(f)(3); for 42 U.S.C. §1981 and §1983 by 42 U.S.C. §1988; for the A.D.E.A. by 42 U.S.C. §12117; for the Rehabilitation Act, 29 U.S.C. § 791.

12. The defendant [*check only those that apply*]

(a) failed to hire the plaintiff.

(b) terminated the plaintiff's employment.

(c) failed to promote the plaintiff.

(d) failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.

(e) failed to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilities.

(f) failed to stop harassment;

(g) retaliated against the plaintiff because the plaintiff did something to assert rights protected by the laws identified in paragraphs 9 and 10 above;

(h) other (specify): *The defendant threatened, sought and pursued firing the plaintiff instead of granting plaintiff's request for accommodation due to plaintiff's need to treat her disabled son. The defendant issued excessive punishment, seeking plaintiff's job termination for 1 to 10 min tardiness (with a reasonable cause) in the morning, although the plaintiff fulfilled her job's duties, going above expectations. Other employees were late w/out cause and were promoted instead of being excessively punished. Since plaintiff responded by asking the Union's support, defendant ensued a series of harassments.*

Defendant continued non-stop harassment of the plaintiff by slander, denial of participation to professional development, depriving the plaintiff (non-stop) of necessary equipment for her job's requirements, enlisting other employees in destroying plaintiff's professional equipment and making false accusations against the plaintiff, cutting off wages for days when plaintiff worked preventing plaintiff from signing in when she reported 13. to work, calling the plaintiff on intercom for the whole campus (y school) and stating derogatory

* Group I Acts of Misconduct (Employee Discipline Book-Board) of Education states "Failing to report to school or assigned work location in a timely manner without reasonable justification." - The disciplinary action in this situation: Written Reprimand. Defendant recommended Termination and started Progressive Discipline actions (3 days, 5 days, suspensions etc) instead.

• Defendant deprived plaintiff of needed for the job - equipment & of Professional Development opportunities. • Defendant publicly humiliates and violated plaintiff's privacy rights making derogatory remarks on all-campus intercom loud message.

14. [AGE DISCRIMINATION ONLY] Defendant knowingly, intentionally, and willfully discriminated against the plaintiff.

15. The plaintiff demands that the case be tried by a jury. YES NO

16. THEREFORE, the plaintiff asks that the court grant the following relief to the plaintiff [check only those that apply]

- (a) Direct the defendant to hire the plaintiff.
- (b) Direct the defendant to re-employ the plaintiff.
- (c) Direct the defendant to promote the plaintiff.
- (d) Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's religion.
- (e) Direct the defendant to reasonably accommodate the plaintiff's disabilities.
- (f) Direct the defendant to (specify): remedy the unjustly issued Misconduct Reports' damage to the plaintiff's reputation, promotion, honor, and ability to be employed by other C.P.S. Principals.
- (g) If available, grant the plaintiff appropriate injunctive relief, lost wages, liquidated/double damages, front pay, compensatory damages, punitive damages, prejudgment interest, post-judgment interest, and costs, including reasonable attorney fees and expert witness fees.
- (h) Grant such other relief as the Court may find appropriate.

Valentina O'Connor

Plaintiff's signature

Valentina L. O'Connor

Plaintiff's name

Plaintiff's street address

10732 S. Seeley Ave.

City Chicago

State IL

ZIP 60643

Plaintiff's telephone number

(773) 238-0680

Date: 1/10/2011

Bruce Wayne

U.S. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

800 669
4000

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS

To: **Valentina L. O'Connor**
10732 South Seeley Avenue
Chicago, IL 60643

From: **Chicago District Office**
500 West Madison St
Suite 2000
Chicago, IL 60661

CERTIFIED MAIL 7010 1870 0001 1856 7260 CP



On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is
CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))

EEOC Charge No.

EEOC Representative

Telephone No.

440-2010-06157

Kiprono Sigilai,
Investigator

(312) 353-8180**THE EEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:**

The facts alleged in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.

Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.

The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.

Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge.

The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.

The EEOC has adopted the findings of the state or local fair employment practices agency that investigated this charge.

Other (briefly state)

- NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -*(See the additional information attached to this form.)*

Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit **must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice**; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that **backpay due for any violations that occurred more than 2 years (3 years) before you file suit may not be collectible.**

On behalf of the Commission

John P. Rowe
John P. Rowe,
District Director

Enclosures(s)

10-12-10

(Date Mailed)

cc: **CHICAGO PUBLIC SCHOOLS**

1/29/10

Dear Mr. Rowe,

I, the undersigned, Valentina L. O'Connor, bring to your attention the following:

• My EEOC ^{charge} Case Nb. 440-2010-06157

has been dismissed based on false allegations. Please consider my charges and also please consider the approx. 60 pages of documentation to support my charges.

• I was interviewed on Sept. 17, 2010 by Mr. Sigilai, Kiprono and a witness who identified himself as "Joe" (or "John") ^{Mr.} Fogerty.

• During the interview, I was constantly interrupted by Mr. Sigilai, Kiprono who showed a great impatience with listening to what I had to say, e.g. he refused to repeat his last name when I did not get it the first time and several times during the interview commented out loud that this charge he will not consider and/or dismiss it. It took the witness to intervene in order for Mr. Kiprono to allow me to continue and to accept my attached documents to my complaint. In the attached Dismissal letter, the statement re: my principal's refusal to

allow me to attend professional workshops during working hours is totally false. I NEVER STATED

that. Regarding the other allegations, "I was disciplined on March, 2010", Mr. Kiprono refused to at least look at my documentation proving that the Discipline Notice was based solely on false allegations.
If my charge appears to tally with what I explained to Mr. Kiprono that only our new school principal (hired in 2008) discriminated against me.

Indeed, it is because Mr. Kiprono Sigilai seems to have phrased my statements like that, instead of considering my real complaints and refused to at least look at the very accurately documented evidences

that I supported and based my allegations upon. (and which are attached to my charge - in case these evidence papers are missing, I did keep the originals)

Considering the above, I respectfully request that my case be continued; based on your judgement of the situation, maybe another investigator would be willing to listen to my complaint and to read the documents in support of my complaint.

Sincerely,

P.S. Please excuse my spelling mistakes!

Valentina O'Connor

* I was hired by the Chicago Public Schools in 1992, but I NEVER have been discriminated against until our new school principal (hired in 2008) started this "progressive discipline" not only against me, but also against other veteran teachers, who are willing to testify.